202411

DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION

02 MOV 15 PM 0:58

Dave's **Soda** and **Pet** City 11 Ramah Circle Agawam, MA 01001

U.S. Department of Transportation Dockets Docket No. FAA-2002-13378400 Seventh Street S.W. Room Plaza 401 Washington, DC 20590

November 15,2002

**RE**: Docket No. FAA-2002-1337th- 974

Dear FAA,

lam very concerned in three areas of the proposed reporting rule of the **FAA**. My first concern is that the privacy rights **of** both the **shipper** and receiver of the animals will be violated. If the names **and** addresses of private **citizens** and companies are made public, they may be placed **on** mailing lists **or** targeted by different **groups**.

**My** second concern is the use of the **term** "guardian" in describing **the** owner of an animal. Animals **are** bought, **sold**, and **are** clearly consider **as** property under **the law**. The term "guardian" should **be** removed.

My final concern is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet"may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to Police Officer for use as a police or drug dog. Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely.

president